

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/070,854 | TORTELIER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Ted M. Wang | 2634 | |

All Participants:

(1) Ted M. Wang.

(2) David Morris.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 August 2005

Time: 11:00 a.m.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The proposed Examiner amendment has been agreed by the applicant's representative, David Morris. The instant application is in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 8/2/05
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)